

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,465	06/03/2005	Tatsuya Morikawa		4720
23373 7590 10/24/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HU, HENRY S	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,465	MORIKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HENRY S. HU	1796	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1111	TREFET FILED 14 OCCODE 2000 FAILS TO FLACE THIS AFFLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request

for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods:

THE BEDLY FILED 44 October 2009 FAILS TO DEACE THIS ADDITION IN CONDITION FOR ALL OWANCE

The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding emount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.77(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for speak and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the ____ non-allowable claim(s). ____
- 7.
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)
 will not be entered, or b)
 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected to. ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-4, 7-17 and 19.

Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-4, 7-17 and 19.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. Dther:

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The incorporation of monomer amount of VDF-based fluorocopolymer (A) into four parent Claims 1 and 19 are recognized. The added monomeric amount limitations include: said methylene group-containing fluoropolymer (A) is a vinyildene fluoride repeating unit content of not lower than 40 mole percent but not higher than 85 mole percent relative to the total number of moles of the vinhi fidere fluoride repeating units and the repeating units derived more monomorers in the copolymer, . It is clearly and certainly a narrow down from the original scope on fluoropolymer (A). However, it does not place the application for allowance after final action because:

With such monomeric amount limitations added onto four parent Claims 1 and 19, the scope in both parent claims are thereby changed and en indeed "at least" somewhat away from original prior art search. Reconsideration and new search are thereby both required to be sure of such new limitations are fully covered.

In the case of any current (final) rejection being overcome some other references may be further applied to teach such a limitation.

In summary, reconsideration and new search is thereby required to be sure of such questions being fully answered.

Examiner Henry Hu, au 1796, October 22, 2008.